



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_5799
Title of the Manuscript:	Magnetic Fluid Lubrication of Finite Journal Bearing; 3-D Analysis Using MATLAB
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	✓ The discussion on figures is completely insufficient. ✓ The “RESULTS AND DISCUSSION” part should be expanded. ✓ The quality of some equations in the manuscript like (10), (11), (12), etc. is very poor.	The content of results and discussion has been expanded. The quality of the equations has been improved.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	✓ The font of “references” part should be checked.	The fond has been checked.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	✓ This work is very valuable and I like to recommend it for publishing, after revision.	Thanks.